UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/658,614   | 09/10/2003  | Boris Ginzburg       | P-5911-US           | 2528             |
| 49444 7590 05/13/2008 PEARL COHEN ZEDEK LATZER, LLP 1500 BROADWAY, 12TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10036 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|  |             |                      | GOETZE, SIMON A     |                  |
| NEW TORK, I  | N1 10030    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 2617                |                  |
|  |             |                      |                     | DEL MEDITA CODE  |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |             |                      | 05/13/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Art Unit SIMON A. GOETZE  All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) SIMON A. GOETZE.  (3) Marty (on conference with Caleb).  (2) Caleb Pollack (Reg. No. 37,912).  (4)  Date of Interview: 07 May 2008.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: independent claim 9.  Identification of prior art discussed: Fischer et al. (US Patent 5,889,772) and Zweig et al. (US Patent 7,154,854).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The agreement that was reached is that Applicant will amend the claim to clarify what a "request to send frame" exactly entails.  |
|--|
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) SIMON A. GOETZE. (3) Marty (on conference with Caleb).  (2) Caleb Pollack (Reg. No. 37,912). (4)  Date of Interview: 07 May 2008.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: independent claim 9.  Identification of prior art discussed: Fischer et al. (US Patent 5,889,772) and Zweig et al. (US Patent 7,154,854).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The agreement that was reached is that Applicant will amend the claim to clarify what a "request to send frame" exactly entails.   |
| (1) SIMON A. GOETZE. (3) Marty (on conference with Caleb).  (2) Caleb Pollack (Reg. No. 37,912). (4)  Date of Interview: 07 May 2008.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: independent claim 9. Identification of prior art discussed: Fischer et al. (US Patent 5,889,772) and Zweig et al. (US Patent 7,154,854). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The agreement that was reached is that Applicant will amend the claim to clarify what a "request to send frame" exactly entails.   |
| (2) <u>Caleb Pollack (Reg. No. 37,912)</u> . (4)  Date of Interview: <u>07 May 2008</u> .  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: <u>independent claim 9</u> .  Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Fischer et al. (US Patent 5,889,772) and Zweig et al. (US Patent 7,154,854)</u> .  Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The agreement that was reached is that Applicant will amend the claim to clarify what a "request to send frame" exactly entails</u> .   |
| Date of Interview: 07 May 2008.  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: independent claim 9.  Identification of prior art discussed: Fischer et al. (US Patent 5,889,772) and Zweig et al. (US Patent 7,154,854).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The agreement that was reached is that Applicant will amend the claim to clarify what a "request to send frame" exactly entails.   |
| Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: independent claim 9.  Identification of prior art discussed: Fischer et al. (US Patent 5,889,772) and Zweig et al. (US Patent 7,154,854).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The agreement that was reached is that Applicant will amend the claim to clarify what a "request to send frame" exactly entails.  |
| c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: independent claim 9.  Identification of prior art discussed: Fischer et al. (US Patent 5,889,772) and Zweig et al. (US Patent 7,154,854).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The agreement that was reached is that Applicant will amend the claim to clarify what a "request to send frame" exactly entails.   |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>independent claim 9</u> .  Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Fischer et al. (US Patent 5,889,772) and Zweig et al. (US Patent 7,154,854)</u> .  Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The agreement that was reached is that Applicant will amend the claim to clarify what a "request to send frame" exactly entails</u> .  |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Fischer et al. (US Patent 5,889,772) and Zweig et al. (US Patent 7,154,854).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The agreement that was reached is that Applicant will amend the claim to clarify what a "request to send frame" exactly entails.   |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The agreement that was reached is that Applicant will amend the claim to clarify what a "request to send frame" exactly entails.  |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The agreement that was reached is that Applicant will amend the claim to clarify what a "request to send frame" exactly entails.</u>   |
| reached, or any other comments: <u>The agreement that was reached is that Applicant will amend the claim to clarify</u> what a "request to send frame" exactly entails.  |
| A filling description of the second s |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)   |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| /Simon A. Goetze/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 2617   |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.  Examiner, At Onic 2017  Examiner, At Onic 2017  Examiner, At Onic 2017   |
| J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)  Paper No. 20080507   |

Application No.

Applicant(s)